

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,892	08/05/2003	Jihpemg Leu	884.657US2	1599	
21186 7590 04/21/2006			EXAMINER		
SCHWEGM	AN, LUNDBERG, W	GURLEY, LYNNE ANN			
P.O. BOX 2938			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/635,892	LEU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Lynne A. Gurley	2812

	Lynne A. Gurley	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(I TOL-524).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)			
13. Other:		Yum I	Healer		
		Lynne A. Gurley Primary Patent Exa	aminer		

Art Unit: 2812

Application No. 10/635,892

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner has considered Applicant's position concerning layer 28. However, in response to Applicant's remarks, pages 6-7, 28 is not the only barrier layer present in the structure. Even though 34 and 50 are disclosed as ARC layers, they also perform the function of a barrier layer. They are made of the same mTiN aterial as a conventional barrier layer. Therefore, the first ILD layer is 20 above the substrate 10; first recess in the first ILD layer is filled with first interconnect 32; a first conductive diffusion barrier layer 34 (clearly, which is directly contacting the layer 32) or TiN 28 is above and on the first interconnect. Although it is difficult to determine if 28 is on the resessed part of 32, layer 34 still meets the claimed limitation. Upper ILD 40 is formed above the first conductive diffusion barrier 34; An upper recess which is filled with layer 48 is formed in the upper ILD 40 to optionally expose the first conductive diffusion barrier 34 (before it is filled with barrier 44) and upper interconnect 48 is formed in the upper recess; and an upper diffusion barrier layer TiN 50 is formed above and on the upper interconnect. The formation of the TiN by electroless plating or, by one of the methods in claim 3, is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art, as is substitution of organic or inorganic dielectric layers for the ILD layers in order to lower the dielectric constant of the structure and thus the capacitance. Again, even though the TiN layers are described as ARC layers, they perform the function of barrier layers as well. accordingly, the rejection of claims 1-17 has been maintained.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/635,892	LEU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lynne A. Gurley	2812	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>23 February 2006</u> is consider requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment item(s) is required.	
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEN 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markin B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other	
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet: 37 CFR B. Other	1.72.
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1. B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing	e top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 121(d). correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
C. Each claim has not been provided with the property of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status in	t of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) roper status identifier, and as such, the individual status e status of every claim must be indicated after its claim identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sign	ed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 3	7 CFR 1 121 see MPFP 8 714
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complian filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the no entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whicheve correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the foliated (including a submission for a request for continued examin amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	ollowing: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment ation (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental R 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a the corrected required is only the corrected section of the
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quantum control of the	
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	t amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment nendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 0406

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: In claim 11, line 5 "[[an]]" is present, which calls for a different status identifyer such as "(amended)" instead of "(Previously Presented)".